## THE GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION Seventh Floor, Kamat Towers, Patto, Panaji, Goa.

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner
<u>Appeal No.182/2016</u>

Fr. Jacinto Rodrigues, H.No. 242, Calvaddo, Cavelossim,Salcete ......Appellant

V/s.

1.Public information Officer, The Secretary, Village Panchayat, Cavelossim, Cavelossim,Goa.

...Respondents

## Appeal filed on:-14/09/2016 Decided on:- 2/05/2017

## <u>ORDER</u>

- 1. Appellant, Shri. Jacinto Rodrigues by his application dated 9/03/2016 sought certain information from the PIO, Secretary Village Panchayat, Cavelossim, Goa as stated therein in the said application.
- 2. The said application was not responded by the Public Information Officer (PIO), as deeming the same as refusal, the appellant preferred 1<sup>st</sup> appeal before the BDO, South Goa at Margao being First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 4<sup>th</sup> May 2016.
- 3. And the FAA vide order dated 13/06/2016 passed an order directing Respondent PIO to provide information to the appellant sought by his application dated 9/03/2016 free of cost within 7 days from the date of order. In the said order the observation was also made by the FAA that PIO

failed to comply provisions of sub section (1) of section 7 of the RTI Act 2005.

- 4. The Respondent PIO vide their letter dated 9/06/2016 furnished part of the information to the Appellant after filing of the 1<sup>st</sup> appeal by the Appellant.
- 5. Being not satisfied with the information furnished to him as there was delay in replying his application, the Appellant preferred the present appeal under section 19(3) of the RTI Act on 12/09/2015 thereby seeking relief for providing the information and for invoking penal provisions.
- 6. In pursuant to the notice the appellant appeared alongwith Advocate C. Fernandes. Present PIO Shashank Desai present alongwith then PIO Shankar Naik.
- 7. In the course of the hearing Advocate for the Appellant submitted that he is satisfied with the information provided to him except at Sr. No. 1.
- 8. On subsequent date of hearing PIO Shashank Desai submitted that there is only one memo bearing No. 49/05/95-DDPS/955 dated 30/11/98 in official records and accordingly furnished the same to the appellant. The appellant sought time to verify the same. Accordingly on the subsequent date of hearing the Advocate for the Appellant submitted that he is satisfied with the information furnished to him at point 1 to 11 and that he is not pressing for information at Sr. No. 12 and he prayed for disposal of the appeal.
- 9. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the reverse of the memo of the Appeal. In view of the endorsement made

by Appellant nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal.

10. The appeal disposed accordingly proceedings stand closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-

(**Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar**) State Information Commissioner Goa State Information Commission, Panaji-Goa

Kk/fn